ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

 Client ID:
 M117097

 Date Received:
 07/26/07

 Date Extracted:
 07/30/07

 Date Analyzed:
 07/30/07

 Matrix:
 Water

 Units:
 ug/L (ppb)

Client:
Project:
Lab ID:
Data File:

Alaskan Copper Works PO# M117097, F&BI 707350

707350-01 x10 707350-01 x10.022

Instrument: ICPMS1 Operator: HR

Internal Standard: % Germanium

% Recovery: Limit: 94 60

Upper Limit: 125

Concentration
Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

Chromium 469
Nickel 571
Copper 185
Zinc <10

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank
Date Received: Not Applicable
Date Extracted: 07/30/07
Date Analyzed: 07/30/07
Matrix: Water
Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client: Alaskan Copper Works
Project: PO# M117097, F&BI 707350
Lab ID: I7-269 mb
Data File: I7-269 mb.012
Instrument: ICPMS1
Operator: HR

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 100 60 125

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/02/07 Date Received: 07/26/07

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO# M117097, F&BI 707350

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 707349-01 (Duplicate)

		Sample	Duplicate	Relative Percent	Acceptance
Analyte	Reporting Units	Result	Result	Difference	Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm	0-20
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	<1	<1	nm	0-20
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	60.6	60.6	0	0-20
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	33.7	33.3	1	0-20

Laboratory Code: 707349-01 (Matrix Spike)

		Spike	Sample	Percent Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	Result	MS	Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	97	50-150
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	96	50-150
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	60.6	103 b	50-150
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	33.7	101 b	50-150

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

Analyte	Reporting Unit	Spike s Level	Percent Recovery LCS	Acceptance Criteria		
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	98	70-130		
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	98	70-130		
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	97	70-130		
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	95	70-130		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probablility.
- **b** The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv The sample was diluted due to insufficient sample volume. Detection limits are raised due to dilution
- fb The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.
- \mathbf{fc} The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- fp Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with quantitation of the analyte. The reported concentration may be a false positive.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- **jl** The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- **nm** The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- **pr** The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.
- y The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

August 2, 2007

COUPLICATE

INVOICE #07ACU0802-1

Accounts Payable Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

RE: Project Metro Self Monitor, PO# M117097, F&BI 707350 - Results of testing requested by Gerry Thompson for material submitted on July 26, 2007.

FEDERAL TAX ID #(b) (6)

707350 SA	MPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY	ME 07/2	6/07 AI4
Send Report To Genell Hongson	PROJECT NAME/NO. MERO SELF Monitor Giaso	PO# 17-097	Page #of TURNAROUND TIME © Standard (2 Weeks) RUSH
Address 628 5: HAZOUL ST City, State, ZIR SOAFLE CUA 98/34 Phone # 206-541-6033 Fax # 206-382-4308	REMARKS		SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days Return samples Will call with instructions
		ANALYSES REQU	

					ANALYSEŞ REQUESTED													
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270	HFS	~220 m					No	tes
M117097	01	7/24/07	11:35	A20	1							M						
		1																
												٠,				1.		
				9														
,						T	\dagger	\dagger	T						\vdash	十		
		 				1	\dagger	\dagger	\dagger						\vdash	+		
		*	<u> </u>			╁	+	╁	╁	╁	-	 		-	-	+-	-	
			-		-	\downarrow	+	+	+	-	_	_	-	-	┼-		-	<u></u>
			ļ			1	_	_	\perp	_	_	_		_	1	1		
,																		
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	Palinduie	SIGNAT	URE		PRIN			E					OMP		Z .		DATE	TIME
3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received by			9	12xif	<u> </u>						A	ear	<u> </u>			7/26/2	2:08 PM
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Received by:	aby:	ans		ian 7	Pro	w	<u> </u>				F	e B	<u></u>	<u> </u>		7/26/07	0.10
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:	· v															a	
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC	L				*						<u> </u>	 					<u> </u>	<u> </u>

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

August 2, 2007

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on July 26, 2007 from the Metro Self Monitor, PO# M117097, F&BI 707350 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0802R.DOC